

<b>Form PTO-1489 Substitute</b>	<b>U.S. Department of Commerce Patent and Trademark Office</b>	<b>Application Number</b>	10/037,415
		<b>Filing Date</b>	January 4, 2002
		<b>First Named Inventor</b>	David Baltimore
		<b>Art Unit</b>	1636
		<b>Examiner Name</b>	C. Hibbert
		<b>Attorney Docket No.</b>	75723-ZB/JPW/GJG/CS

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**  
(Use several sheets if necessary)

**NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS**

Examiner Initials <sup>1</sup>	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
/C.H./	1	ARIAD's Principal Brief For Plaintiffs-Appellees On Rehearing En Banc, filed October 5, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
/C.H./	2	Brief of <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Law Professor Christopher M. Holman In Support Of Neither Party, filed October 13, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
/C.H./	3	Brief For <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Roberta J. Morris, ESQ. Ph.D., In Support Of Neither Party, Urging Attention To The Grammatical Structure And Words of 35 USC § 112 ¶ 1, filed October 13, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
/C.H./	4	Brief Of <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Novozymes A/S On <i>En Banc</i> Rehearing In Support Of Neither Party, filed October 13, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	
/C.H./	5	Brief Of <i>Amici Curiae</i> Mark D. Janis And Timothy R. Holbrook In Support Of Neither Party, filed October 14, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248;	

<b>EXAMINER SIGNATURE</b>	/Catherine Hibbert/	<b>DATE CONSIDERED</b>	02/09/2010
---------------------------	---------------------	------------------------	------------

\*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609: Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. <sup>1</sup>Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a checkmark here if English language Translation is attached.

Applicants: David Baltimore, et al.  
Serial No.: 10/037,415  
Filed: January 4, 2002  
Exhibit A

<b>Form PTO-1449 Substitute</b> <b>U.S. Department of Commerce</b> <b>Patent and Trademark Office</b>  <b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b> <b>(Use several sheets if necessary)</b>	Application Number	10/037,415
	Filing Date	January 4, 2002
	First Named Inventor	David Baltimore
	Art Unit	1636
	Examiner Name	C. Hibbert
	Attorney Docket No.	75723- ZB/JPW/GJG/CS

### NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
/C.H./	6	Brief Of Amicus Curiae New York Intellectual Property Law Association On En Banc Rehearing In Support Of Neither Party, filed October 15, 2009 in in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248; and	
/C.H./	7	Brief Of Amici Curiae The Regents Of The University Of California, Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation, The University Of Texas System, University Of Rochester, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, STC.UNM, The Research Foundation Of State University Of New York, NDSU Research Foundation, And Research Corporation Technologies, Inc. On En Banc Rehearing In Support Of Affirmance Of Judgment, filed October 15, 2009 in the concurrent litigation captioned <i>ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Docket No. 2008-1248.	

EXAMINER SIGNATURE /Catherine Hibbert/

DATE CONSIDERED 02/09/2010

\*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609: Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. <sup>1</sup>Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). <sup>2</sup>Applicant is to place a checkmark here if English language Translation is attached.